

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/073,223	02/13/2002	Tsunehiro Nishi	KOJIM-446	4806	
23599 7:	590 08/04/2004		EXAM	INER	
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.			LEE, S	LEE, SIN J	
2200 CLAREN	IDON BLVD.		L	DARED MANAGER	
SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON,	VA 22201		1752		

DATE MAILED: 08/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/073,223	NISHI ET AL.
That is a second in the second	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sin J. Lee	1752
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address /
THE REPLY FILED 13 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	S APPLICATION IN CONDITIO	N FOR ALLOWANCE.
	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount in the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally part in the final Office of the fee.
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal σ	eriod set forth in f the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be		"
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelir	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	•	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would to canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	nuse it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo 	s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belov	will be entered and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1, 3-5</u> .		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 6-15.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by the	CYNTHIA H. KELLY THE STATEMENT EXAMINER
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700
0. Other:		Sin J. Lee
	agnithed Ki	Sin J. Lee Dy Patent Examiner Technology Center 170

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claims 6 and 13 as amended and new claim 16 raise new issues that would require further search.